MARI – Bid Structure and Linking

Table of Content

Disclaimer:

This document and its whole translations may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, except for literal and whole translation into languages other than English.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "as is" basis and may be subject to future modifications.

MARI PROJECT DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

This document is maintained by the MARI project team.
NOTE CONCERNING WORDING USED IN THIS DOCUMENT

The force of the following words is modified by the requirement level of the document in which they are used.

- SHALL: This word, or the terms "REQUIRED" or "MUST", means that the definition is an absolute requirement of the specification.

- SHALL NOT: This phrase, or the phrase "MUST NOT", means that the definition is an absolute prohibition of the specification.

- SHOULD: This word, or the adjective "RECOMMENDED", means that there may exist valid reasons in particular circumstances to ignore a particular item, but the full implications shall be understood and carefully weighed before choosing a different course.

- SHOULD NOT: This phrase, or the phrase "NOT RECOMMENDED", means that there may exist valid reasons in particular circumstances when the particular behaviour is acceptable or even useful, but the full implications should be understood and the case carefully weighed before implementing any behaviour described with this label.

- MAY: This word, or the adjective "OPTIONAL", means that an item is truly optional. One IT vendor may choose to include the item because a particular marketplace requires it or because the vendor feels that it enhances the product while another vendor may omit the same item. An implementation which does not include a particular option SHALL be prepared to interoperate with another implementation which does include the option, though perhaps with reduced functionality. In the same vein an implementation which does include a particular option SHALL be prepared to interoperate with another implementation which does not include the option (except, of course, for the feature the option provides.).

Version History

Version	Date	Comments
1	12/2020	Initial version

List of abbreviations

SA – Scheduled Activation

DA – Direct Activation

AOF – Activation Optimisation Function

MARI – Manually Activated Reserves Initiative

MTU – Market Time Unit

QH – Quarter Hour

mFRR – manually activated Frequency Restoration Reserves

BSP – Balancing Service Provider

1. Introduction

This document describes, based on the mFRR Implementation Framework[1] dated 24th January 2020, the bidding options to be used in MARI. The document covers bid definition, bid type, bid properties and bid availability. The document serves to provide insight and details internally as well as externally for BSPs.

---

[1] Implementation framework for the European platform for the exchange of balancing energy from frequency restoration reserves with manual activation in accordance with Article 20 of Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/2195 of 23 November 2017 establishing a guideline on electricity balancing, 24 January 2020

2. Bid definition and Type of Bids

The BSPs enter their bids via the local IT systems of the connecting TSO. Every TSO must then submit the balancing energy bids received from BSPs to the mFRR platform.

A bid is always characterized by at least those 6 characteristics: the offered volume, divisibility, minimum offered volume, direction, price and activation type. Every simple bid is characterized by a single price.

All bids have the characteristics shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Bid characteristics

	Value	Technical limit
Offered volume	Variable	[1;9999] MW 1 MW step
Divisibility	Divisible or Indivisible	
Minimum offered volume	Variable or N/A^2	[1;9999] MW
Direction	Upward or Downward	
Price	Variable	[-99'999; 99'999] €/MWh 0.01 €/MWh step
Activation Type	Scheduled Activation (SA), or Direct Activation (DA)	

- The offered volume determines the size of the bid.

A bid selected by the mFRR platform Algorithm Optimization Function (AOF) follows the rules of Table 2:

Table 2: Bid characteristics of selected bids

	Value
Minimum activated volume	1 MW
Maximum activated volume	Offered Volume
Minimal incremental activated volume	1 MW

Two types of bids are allowed on the mFRR platform; simple bids and complex bids. Simple bids are those bids, which are not grouped together in any form. Simple bids define the smallest component in the bid structure of the mFRR platform and consist of one price and one volume.

A complex bid is always a combination of simple bids grouped together and which can be cleared only under specific rules.

---

^{2} Not applicable if the bid is indivisible

2.1.   Simple Bids

In the mFRR platform, three types of simple bids are possible due to the choices regarding divisibility (fully divisible / divisible / indivisible) and the minimum offered volume Table 1Different clearing rules may apply depending on the type of simple bid.

Table 3 depicts the three types of simple bids that can be modelled according to attribute "minimum offered volume" and "divisibility":

Table 3: Three types of simple bids

	Fully divisible bid	Divisible bid	Indivisible bid
Divisibility	Divisible	Divisible	Indivisible
Offered Volume	x MW	x MW	x MW
Minimum offered Volume	z MW, where z = 0 MW	z MW, where: 0 MW < z < x MW	N/A

Figure 1 shows a fully divisible bid, a divisible bid and an indivisible bid. A divisible bid is a bid which can be partially selected by the mFRR platform AOF. It means that the selected volume of divisible bid may be different from the offered volume. In the case of the fully divisible bid, the minimum selected volume may be as low as 1 MW while for the divisible bid, the minimum value is capped by the minimum offered volume (indivisible part of the bid, e.g. technical minimum of the unit). An indivisible bid is a bid which can only be selected in its entirety by the AOF.


Figure 1: Representation of a fully divisible bid, divisible bid and indivisible bid

NB: the model presented here is defined in the Implementation Guide, which sets the format of bid between the TSO and the mFRR platform. The TSO may choose to model simple bids differently to adapt to its local market. Nevertheless, the format shall follow the IG. It is therefore the responsibility of the TSO to convert the local format to the IG format.

2.2.   Complex Bids

A complex bid is a special bid to model technical and economical behaviours of energy assets. It consists of multiple simple bids (two or more), which are associated in a defined way. The complex bids may have to be limited in size (number of simple bids in a complex bid) as well as in number (number of submitted complex bids), as they have significant impact on the performance of the algorithm.

2.2.1.   Multipart Bid

The multipart bid is referred to as parent-child bid in the mFRR IF. The MARI Project agreed to reuse the multipart modelling, already existing in TERRE and in the ENTSO-E EDI Bid document based on a monotonous price rule. Within the ENTSO-E EDI documents and within the mFRR platform the term multipart will be used.

A multipart bid consists of two or more simple bids within the same QH. Thus, each component of the multipart bid follows the same characteristics as simple bids.

However, additional rules apply on the components of the multipart bid:

- The bids must have different prices but may have the same or different volumes.
- The simple bids may be fully divisible, divisible or indivisible without any restrictions on the combinations.
- All bids must be in the same direction, i.e. either in downward or upward direction.
- All components of a multipart bid must have the same activation type, i.e. scheduled only or direct activation.
- A component of a multipart bid cannot at the same time be a component of another multipart bid. Likewise, a component of a multipart bid cannot at the same time be part of an exclusive bid.

The following clearing rules related to multipart bids apply:

- A component of an upward multipart bid cannot be activated unless all other components with a lower price have been activated up to their entire offered volumes. A component of a downward multipart bid cannot be activated unless all other components with a higher price have been activated up to their entire offered volumes.
- If at least one bid is activated in SA, the remaining volume of the multipart bid is no longer available for DA. Likewise, if at least one bid is activated in an optimisation for DA, the remaining bids are no longer available for any subsequent DA optimisations.

Example: Multipart Bid

A multipart bid of total upward 80 MW, available for DA is submitted. It consists of:

- An indivisible bid 1: 50 MW @ 10 € / MWh;
- A fully divisible bid 2: 10 MW @ 25 € / MWh;
- A fully divisible bid 3: 10 MW @ 15 € / MWh;
- A fully divisible bid 4: 10 MW @ 20 € / MWh.

The AOF selects 65 MW of the multipart bid. The cross-border marginal price is 20 €/MWh, i.e. bid 1 and bid 3 are fully activated since they are in-the-money and bid 4 is partially activated with 5 MW. The remaining volume of bid 4 and bid 2 cannot be activated in DA and the volume is thus lost.

Figure 2 depicts the activation of the bids graphically, which are stacked in monotonously increasing price.

Figure 2: Example of multipart bid

2.2.2. Exclusive Bid

The exclusive bid is a group of simple bids for which at most one of the bids can be activated; hence, the activation of a bid belonging to an exclusive bid excludes the activation of the other bids belonging to the same group. Exclusive group of bids can be used to model start-up costs with different offered volumes and prices.

An exclusive bid consists of two or more simple bids (which have the same identification attribute) within the same QH. Thus, each component of the exclusive bid follows the same characteristics as simple bids.

Additional rules apply on the components of the exclusive bid:

- The components may have different directions, volumes and/or prices
- The components must have the same activation type.
- The components within the group may be fully divisible, divisible or indivisible without any restrictions on the combinations.
- The components must have the same availability status.

The following clearing rules related to exclusive bids apply:

- Only one of the components within the group can be activated.
- An exclusive group of bids can be available for both SA and DA. If none of the components in the exclusive group of bids is activated in SA, the whole exclusive group of bids remains available for DA.

Example:

In Table 4 and Figure 3 an exclusive group is presented with four indivisible bids of various volumes and prices.

Table 4: Example of an exclusive group of bids

Component of Exclusive Bid	Price [€/MWh]	Volume [MW]	Activation type	Bid ID
Indivisible Bid 1	20	15	DA	#ID1
Indivisible Bid 2	70	10	DA	#ID2
Indivisible Bid 3	50	20	DA	#ID3
Indivisible Bid 4	40	30	DA	#ID4

Only one of the bids can be accepted. In case a component of exclusive bid is divisible/fully divisible bid, the remaining volume of the partially cleared bid is not available for the next Direct Activations.

The AOF of the mFRR platform will select the optimal bid to fulfil the objective function. The AOF will not always select the cheapest bid of an exclusive bid. In this example, the bid with the volume of 30 MW @ 40 €/MWh is selected. This may be the case if the required volume was exactly 30 MW.

Figure 3: Exclusive group of bids

3. Bid properties

3.1. Activation type

Every balancing energy bid submitted by the TSOs to the mFRR platform has one of the following activation types:

1. scheduled activation only (SA bid);
2. scheduled and direct activation (DA bid);

SA bid (1) is only available for scheduled activation while DA bid (2) can be cleared either in the scheduled or in the direct activation.

The BSP must be aware that a direct activation of its bid results in a delivery extending until the end of the next quarter hour. The BSP must be able to perform this delivery.

3.1.1. Guaranteed Volume

The need for Guaranteed Volume is the following: DA bids may be selected by the AOF when optimising scheduled or direct activations. Locally, TSOs would like to avoid that bids eligible for DA become exhausted during SA, leaving the connecting TSO with too low reserves for DA (which cannot be recovered because DA bids may have been consumed instead of SA bids or because of changes in cross border capacity limits). In extreme cases, this could cause frequency problems. Therefore, some TSOs want to retain a certain volume of DA bids eligible for DA also after SA, by marking some DA bids as not activatable in SA optimisation TSOs wishing to use Guaranteed Volume shall introduce this in their terms and conditions or methodologies.

3.2. Linking of Bids

This chapter describes types of the linking of bids between quarter hours. A BSP can link bids together with a technical link and/or with a conditional link. Technical linking and conditional linking are not mutually exclusive.

The linking of bids between quarter hours is needed, because at the gate closure time for QH0 (current QH), the BSPs do not have the knowledge, if their bid was activated in QH-1 (previous QH) either in SA or DA or if their bid was activated in QH-2 in DA. Figure 4 depicts the information state for BSPs.

Figure 4: Information state of BSPs for QH0

Until the Gate Closure Time for BSP for QH0 at T-25, a BSP can still be notified until T-23 for the activation of a bid of QH-2 (in Direct Activation). Therefore, in some cases, BSPs are not able to update their bids for QH0 if the activation of the bids in QH-2 have an impact on the bids in QH-0. For example, ramping constraints between the DA bid of QH-2 and the SA and DA bid of QH0.

Similarly, to the previous case, BSPs are notified at T-22.5 (i.e. after the GCT for QH-1), if their bid was selected for activation in SA for QH-1. Until T-8, BSPs can be notified for an activation in DA for QH-1. Since the Gate Closure Time for BSP is passed, BSPs cannot update their bids for QH0. For example, a

bid which is activated in QH-1 in direct activation may have an impact on the bid in QH0 if both bids represent the same underlying asset.

Therefore, technical linking between QH-1 and QH0 as well as conditional linking between QH-2 and QH0 and, QH-1 and QH0 have been introduced to solve those issues. It should be noted that linking may seamlessly continue to stretch into future MTU periods therefore the outcome of the bid in QH0 may subsequently affect the availability of bids in QH1 and QH2, etc.

The principle of the linking is to switch the availability status of the bids from available to unavailable (or vice-versa) to avoid unfeasible activations. The processing of the availability of the bids, i.e. which bids will be included in the CMOL, shall be done on the MARI platform.

In principle, the BSP has the responsibility to link the bids together to avoid unfeasible activations but each TSO may facilitate the input of the bids of the BSP based on information of underlying assets, the technical and/or commercial constraints of such assets, etc.. It is at the discretion of the BSP (or the TSO facilitating the input) to choose between technical and conditional linking or combination thereof to achieve the bidding objectives.

The mFRR platform does not take into account the specificities and flexibilities provided by the TSOs to the BSPs. Therefore, the input of the TSOs shall in any case be compliant with the modelling outlined by this document and the precise bid formatting as prescribed by the Implementation Guide.

3.2.1. Technical Linking

At gate closure for QH0, the BSP does not know the result of the clearing of SA for QH-1, as well as any clearing for DA for QH-1, as depicted in Figure 4. Therefore, if the bids submitted for QH-1 and QH0 represent the same asset or the same pool, the dependencies between those bids must be communicated to the mFRR platform in order to prevent overlapping or unfeasible activations.

Technical linking is the linking of two bids (simple or complex) in two subsequent quarter hours. Within a given MTU period, there may not be more than one bid having the link to the same bid in previous quarter hour.

Technical linking ensures that a bid in QH0 is not available for clearing if the bid in the previous quarter hour was activated in DA. This is important in order not to activate the same balancing resource twice. Technical linking rule will be respected by the platform.

Rule for CMOL function for a bid in QH0 technically linked to a bid in QH-1:

- If the bid in QH-1 is subject to DA, the technically linked bid in QH0 will be unavailable (for SA as well as DA).

The following rule always apply to technically linked bids: If bid in QH-1 was subject to DA, bid in QH0 is not available.

Figure 5: Technical linking

Technical Linking Requirement

- Technical linking can be used in combination with conditional linking.
- Technical linking applies to simple bids as well as complex bids (multipart and exclusive groups).
- For a complex bid in QH0, the outcome in terms of availability applies uniformly to all its components.

Technical Linking detailed modelling

Every bid will have a unique identifier within the context of data provider (i.e. TSO) and data recipient (mFRR platform). The TSO is responsible for ensuring uniqueness also across different MTU periods. The mFRR platform is responsible for ensuring uniqueness among several data providers[3].

Unless a technical link has been explicitly declared by the data provider, mFRR platform assumes that a bid is available for SA and/or DA as per its declared activation type and is entirely independent on the outcome of any other bids.

Technically linked bids shall be assigned a common "bid group identifier" by the data provider, as shown in Table 5. Not more than one bid in each MTU period may have the same bid group identifier. This identifier will be used by the mFRR platform to enforce the basic rule that the bid in QH0 becomes unavailable when the linked bid in QH-1 was subject to DA.

Table 5: Example of technical link between two bids

MTU period	QH-1	QH0
Unique bid identifier	bb	cc
Bid group identifier	xx	xx

---

[3] Theoretically two TSOs might have used the same bid identifier. The mFRR platform shall ensure uniqueness by applying a TSO-specific prefix or suffix to the bid identifier to distinguish each data provider.

If the bid in QH-1 is a multipart or exclusive bid, the link shall refer to the multipart/exclusive group identifier (not to any individual component), as shown in Table 6. The example shows a multipart bid in QH0 (identified by "yy"), which is technically linked to a multipart bid in QH-1 (identified by "ww"). Additionally, a multipart bid in QH+1 (identified by "zz") is technically linked to a multipart bid in QH0 (identified by "yy"). In the example, all multipart bids consist of four simple components. If one of the components of a multipart or exclusive bid has been at least partially activated, the entire bid is deemed activated.

Table 6: Example of technical link between multipart bids

MTU period	Type of identifier	QH-1	QH0	QH+1
Component 1	Unique bid identifier	bb	ff	jj
	Multipart bid identifier	ww	yy	zz
	Bid group identifier (technical link)	xx	xx	xx
Component 2	Unique bid identifier	cc	gg	kk
	Multipart bid identifier	ww	yy	zz
	Bid group identifier (technical link)	xx	xx	xx
Component 3	Unique bid identifier	dd	hh	ll
	Multipart bid identifier	ww	yy	zz
	Bid group identifier (technical link)	xx	xx	xx
Component 4	Unique bid identifier	ee	ii	mm
	Multipart bid identifier	ww	yy	zz
	Bid group identifier (technical link)	xx	xx	xx

Example: Bid is not available in QH0 due to activation in DA QH-1

A BSP technically links together bid B for QH0 with bid A in QH-1, see Figure 6: Example of technical linking. This means, if bid A is activated in DA in QH-1, it has a direct effect on the availability of bid B. Thus, bid B is not available for QH0 and will be removed from the CMOL.


Divisible bid in QH-1 marked available for DA
- Price 10€/MWh
- Volume 100 MW
- Minimal Volume 60 MW

Divisible bid in QH-0 marked available for DA
- Price 10€/MWh
- Volume 100 MW
- Minimal Volume 60 MW
- Linked to bid in QH-1

Figure 6: Example of technical linking

3.2.2. Conditional Linking

Conditional linking is needed because the BSP do not know at gate closing QH0, if their bid in QH-2 was activated in DA or if their bid in QH-1 was activated in SA or DA. Due to constraint of the underlying assets or as a bidding strategy, a bid in QH0 may for example be available or not for clearing if bid in QH-2 was activated in DA or bid in QH-1 was activated in SA or DA. The conditional linking is a property similar to technical linking and aims to change the availability of a bid in QH0 under certain conditions.

The link may also specify that if the bid in QH-1 was subject to SA, the bid in QH0 is not available for DA (it may still be available for SA though).

All bids subject to conditional linking have an initial availability status: they may be either available or unavailable. The conditional linking will turn the initial availability status of bids to the opposite availability status when at least one of the conditions materialise.

Conditional linking is only applicable to simple bids. In a later release of the platform, it can be evaluated, if this function should also include complex bids.

A given bid in QH0 may have conditional links to a maximum of three bids in QH-1 and/or a maximum of three bids in QH-2. Each conditional link indicates exactly one condition that depends on the outcome of the bid in QH-2 or QH-1. If that condition is fulfilled, the status of the bid in QH0 is adjusted accordingly.


Figure 7: Conditional linking: example with an initial availability status "available"

While a given bid in QH0 may not have more than a total of six conditional links to bids in previous MTU periods, it should be noted that there is no limit on how many bids within QH0 a given bid in QH-1 or QH-2 might influence. Theoretically, an unlimited number of bids in QH0 may all have different dependencies on a single given bid in QH-1 or QH-2. Nonetheless, it remains the responsibility of the BSPs to ensure that the conditional linking rules reflect the actual technical availabilities of the underlying assets for activation.


Figure 8: Conditional linking with one bid in QH-1 influencing several bids in QH0

Conditional Linking detailed modelling

Same as for technical linking, every bid will have a unique identifier.

Similar to technical linking, unless a conditional link has been explicitly declared by the data provider, mFRR platform assumes that a bid is available and is entirely independent on the outcome of any other bids.

The data provider may conditionally associate the bid in QH0 with between zero and three specific bids in QH-1. The data provider may conditionally associate the bid in QH0 with between zero and three specific bids in QH-2. For each association exactly one of the following conditionality must be specified:

- If bid in earlier MTU period is activated, the linked bid in QH0 is unavailable/available
- If bid in earlier MTU period is activated in SA, the linked bid in QH0 is unavailable/available
- If bid in earlier MTU period is activated in SA, the linked bid in QH0 is unavailable/available for DA
- If bid in earlier MTU period is activated in DA, the linked bid in QH0 is unavailable/available
- If bid in earlier MTU period is activated in DA, the linked bid in QH0 is unavailable/available for DA
- If bid in earlier MTU period is not activated, the linked bid in QH0 is unavailable/available.

As it has been stated in the previous paragraph, the final availability status of the linked bid becomes the opposite of the initially assigned availability status when at least one of the conditions materialise.

The bids in QH-1 and QH-2 must be unique, i.e. it is not permitted to link a given bid in QH0 more than once to a given bid in QH-1 or QH-2.

MTU period	QH-2	QH-1	QH0	
Unique bid identifier	a1	b1	c	
	a2	b2		
	a3	b3		
Link			**Associated bid**	**Dependency**
			a1	If a1 activated then c not available XOR If a1 activated in SA then c not available XOR If a1 activated in DA then c not available XOR If a1 not activated then c not available XOR If a1 activated in SA then c not available for DA XOR If a1 activated in DA then c not available for DA
			a2	etc.
			a3	etc.
			b1	etc.
			b2	etc.
			b3	etc.

Example: Hydro Pump-Storage

The price of water for a pump-storage hydro plant will change depending on the remaining amount of water in the reservoir. A BSP will be willing to reflect this opportunity costs. The figure below shows that, depending on whether the bid a2 in QH-1 is activated, one of the bids (a3, b3 or c) in QH0 will be available. If bid a2 for 10 €/MWh is activated in QH-1 then bid b3 for 20 €/MWh will be available in QH0. If bid a2 for 10 €/MWh was not activated in QH-1, then bid a3 for 10 €/MWh will be available in QH0. If bid b2 for 30 €/MWh was activated in QH-1, then bid c3 for 30 €/MWh will be available in QH0.

A BSP has a 100 MW on a water turbine on a pump storage unit. The pricing of the water becomes different every time water has been sold. Therefore, the BSP puts several bids for the same volume (100 MW) but with different prices to maximize the profit.

One hypothesis is that the BSP has only 100 MW of available capacity to sell and therefore conditional linking is necessary.

Let us suppose the BSP has a trading strategy consisting of three bids which are referred to the same quantity of 100MW. The BSP always offers 100 MW. However, depending on which of his bids has been activated in the previous MTU he wants to be remunerated at a different price.

In the following example we assume that the submitted bids have no technical links.

The conditional link which is shown in the example is recursive over consecutive quarter hours. The conditions written for each quarter hour are simply sliding.

Taking the example of QH0, each bid of QH0 is conditionally linked to all bids of QH-1 (a2, b2, c2) and QH-2 (a1, b1, c1). In this example, it is considered that no activation prior to QH-2 is impacting the bids of the example.

- 'Bid a3' is available per default and it turns to unavailable if either 'Bid a2', or 'Bid b2', or 'Bid c2' has been activated in QH-1 or 'Bid a1', or 'Bid b1', or 'Bid c1' has been activated in QH-2 for DA.
- 'Bid b3' is unavailable per default and it turns to available if 'Bid a2' has been activated in QH-1 or 'Bid a1' has been activated in QH-2 for DA.
- 'Bid c3' is unavailable per default and it turns to available only if 'Bid b2' or 'Bid c2' has been activated in QH-1, or 'Bid b1', or 'Bid c1' has been activated in QH-2 for DA.

Use Case: **Hydro power plants**

	QH-2						QH-1						QH0					
Unique bid Identifier	a1		b1		c1		a2		b2		c2		a3		b3		c3	
Volume	100		100		100		100		100		100		100		100		100	
Price	10		20		30		10		20		30		10		20		30	
Activation type	SA+DA		SA+DA		SA+DA		SA+DA		SA+DA		SA+DA		SA+DA		SA+DA		SA+DA	
Bid Direction	Upward		Upward		Upward		Upward		Upward		Upward		Upward		Upward		Upward	
Initial availability status	Available		Unavailable		Unavailable		Available		Unavailable		Unavailable		Available		Unavailable		Unavailable	
	link	rule	link	rule	link	rule	link	rule	link	rule	link	rule	link	rule	link	rule	link	rule
	a0	u_a	a0	a_aSA	b0	a_aSA	a1	u_a	a1	a_aSA	b1	a_aSA	a2	u_a	a2	a_aSA	b2	a_aSA
	b0	u_a			c0	a_aSA	b1	u_a	a0	a_aDA	c1	a_aSA	b2	u_a	a1	a_aDA	c2	a_aSA
Conditional Link + Rule	c0	u_a					c1	u_a			b0	a_aDA	c2	u_a			b1	a_aDA
							a0	u_aDA			c0	a_aDA	a1	u_aDA			c1	a_aDA
							b0	u_aDA					b1	u_aDA				
							c0	u_aDA					c1	u_aDA				

Min/max range	**+100MW**
	0MW

Bids a0, a1, a2, a3 ..., an are **available** per default
Bids b0, b1, b2, b3, ..., bn are **unavailable** per default
Bids c0, c1, c2, c3, ..., cn are **unavailable** per default
Note: Partial activation is considered as full activation.

Type of link
No need to specify the type of linking: neither AND- nor OR- relationship
All conditions are standalone and self-consistent

Legend for Conditional Linking
u_a	Linked bid was activated => bid unavailable in QH0
a_aSA	Linked bid was activated in SA => bid available in QH0
u_aDA	Linked bid was activated in DA => bid unavailable in QH0
a_aDA	Linked bid was activated in DA => bid available in QH0

Example: Ramping Constraints

A BSP sends two upward bids (one of 40 MW, the other of 10 MW) and a downward bid (100 MW). The activation of these three bids over the MTUs is conditional to the upward and downward ramp-rates of the BSP's power plant (+4 MW/min and -10MW/min). Bids a2 and b2 cannot be cleared in the QH-1 optimization due to slow ramp-rates.

Here conditional links are applied in order to avoid the occurrence of unfeasible and overlapped market solutions. Links shown in the example are recursive over consecutive quarter hours.

Taking the example of QH0 from the figure below, each bid of QH0 is conditionally linked to a variable number of bids from QH-1 and QH-2. The upward bids are linked to the downward bids, because the activation of the downward bid is not feasible in QH-1, whatever upward bid is activated in QH-1. The

mirror criterion is used to model the link between the downward bid and the upward bids. Also link between upward bids is necessary due to slow ramping up speed.

It is sufficient that a condition is met that the concerned activation is no longer possible.

10 MW upward bid is unavailable as initial status because upward bids cannot be cleared at the same time in the QH-1 optimization due to slow ramp-rates. 10 MW upward bid is SA only bid due to slow ramp-rates. Bid b2 will become available only when bid a1 was activated or bid a0 was activated in DA.

Use Case: **Ramping Constraints**

	QH-2						QH-1						QH-0					
Unique bid Identifier	a0		b0		c0		a1		b1		c1		a2		b2		c2	
Volume	40		10		100		40		10		100		40		10		100	
Price	10		20		-5		10		20		-5		10		20		-5	
Activation type	SA+DA		SA		SA+DA		SA+DA		SA		SA+DA		SA+DA		SA		SA+DA	
Bid Direction	Upward		Upward		Downward		Upward		Upward		Downward		Upward		Upward		Downward	
Initial availability status	Available		Unavailable		Available		Available		Unavailable		Available		Available		Unavailable		Available	
	link	rule	link	rule	link	rule	link	rule	link	rule	link	rule	link	rule	link	rule	link	rule
Conditional Link + Rule							c0	u_a	a0	a_a	a0	u_a	c1	u_a	a1	a_a	a1	u_a
							a0	u_aDA			c0	u_aDA	c0	u_aDA	a0	a_aDA	b1	u_a
													a1	u_aDA			a0	u_aDA
																	c1	u_aDA

Min/max range	**+50MW**	
	-100MW	
Ramp rate	**+4MW/min**	
	-10MW/min	

Bids a0, a1, a2, …, an are **available** per default
Bids b0, b1, b2, …, bn are **unavailable** per default
Bids c0, c1, c2, …, cn are **available** per default
Note: Partial activation is considered as full activation.

Type of link
No need to specify the type of linking: neither AND- nor OR- relationship
All conditions are standalone and self-consistent

Legend for Conditional Linking

u_a	Linked bid was activated => bid unavailable in QH0
a_a	Linked bid was activated => bid available in QH0
u_aDA	Linked bid was activated in DA => bid unavailable in QH0
a_aDA	Linked bid was activated in DA => bid available in QH0

Conditional linking:

- Bid a2 is linked to bid c1 with a condition "u_a" as the upward bid a2 cannot be activated following a downward activation of c1 **in either scheduled activation or a direct activation in QH-1**.
- Bid a2 is linked to bid c0 with a condition "u_aDA" as the upward bid a2 cannot be activated following a downward activation of c0 **in a direct activation in QH-2**.
- Bid a2 is linked to bid a1 with a condition "u_aDA" as the activation of bid a1 in DA of QH-1 does not allow additional activation in QH0.
- Bid b2 (initially set as unavailable) is linked to bid a1 with a condition "a_a" as the activation of bid a1 **in either scheduled activation or a direct activation** in QH-1 allow additional activation in QH0.
- Bid b2 (initially set as unavailable) is linked to bid a0 with a condition "a_aDA" as the activation of bid a0 in DA of QH-2 allow additional activation in QH0.
- Bid c2 is individually linked to bid a1 and bid b1 with a condition "u_a". It is sufficient that one of the conditions is fulfilled. So that, the downward bid c2 cannot be activated following an upward activation **in either scheduled activation or a direct activation in QH-1**.
- Bid c2 is linked to bid a0 with a condition "u_aDA" as the downward bid c2 cannot be activated following an upward activation **in a direct activation in QH-2**.

- Bid c2 is linked to bid c1 with a condition "u_aDA" as the activation of bid c1 in DA of QH-1 does not allow additional activation in QH0.
- The mentioned conditions are recursive over quarter-hours.

Example: Start-up Costs

A BSP sends two upward bids (both of 10MW). The activation of these bids over the MTUs is conditional to the activation of the preceding quarter-hour. In fact, the two bids are distinguished by different prices. The bid a, as represented by the figure below, contains both variable and start-up costs. Instead the bid b is priced at the variable cost only and it is classified as activatable in SA only. Such activation type is due to bid b pricing. In fact, bid b can be activated just as a continuation of an energy delivery which has begun in the previous quarter hour/s, without performing any ramp.

Here conditional links are applied in order to avoid the occurrence of overlapped market solutions and preventing consecutive activations from being priced at the start-up costs, respectively. Both links shown in the example are recursive over consecutive quarter hours.

Use Case: **Start-up and Variable Costs**

	QH-2				QH-1				QH-0			
Unique bid Identifier	a0		b0		a1		b1		a2		b2	
Volume	10		10		10		10		10		10	
Price	10		1		10		1		10		1	
Activation type	SA+DA		SA		SA+DA		SA		SA+DA		SA	
Bid Direction	Upward		Upward		Upward		Upward		Upward		Upward	
Initial availability status	Available		Unavailable		Available		Unavailable		Available		Unavailable	
	link	rule	link	rule	link	rule	link	rule	link	rule	link	rule
Conditional Link + Rule					a0	u_a	a0	a_aSA	a1	u_a	a1	a_aSA
					b0	u_a	b0	a_aSA	b1	u_a	b1	a_aSA
									a0	u_aDA	a0	a_aDA

Start-up cost	**9 €/MWh**
Variable cost	**1 €/MWh**

Bids a0, a1, a2, …, an are **available** per default
Bids b0, b1, b2, …, bn are **unavailable** per default
Note: Partial activation is considered as full activation.

Type of link
No need to specify the type of linking: neither AND- nor OR- relationship
All conditions are standalone and self-consistent

Legend for Conditional Linking
u_a	Linked bid was activated => bid unavailable in QH0
a_aSA	Linked bid was activated in SA => bid available in QH0
u_aDA	Linked bid was activated in DA => bid unavailable in QH0
a_aDA	Linked bid was activated in DA => bid available in QH0

Conditional Linking:

- Bid a2 (initially set as available) is individually linked to bids a1 and b1 as the activation of one bid (either a1 or b1) in QH-1 does not allow activation of bid a2 in QH0.
- Bid a2 (initially set as available) is linked to bid a0 as the direct activation of a0 in QH-2 does not allow activation of bid a2 in QH0. Explanation: When a direct activation in QH-2 spans over QH-1, the compensation of star-up costs is not due anymore. Therefore, bid a2 is unavailable for SA in QH0. Moreover, since two consecutive DAs are not allowed by the current modelling of conditional linking, a direct activation of bid a2 is not allowed in QH0 either.

- Bid b2 (initially set as unavailable) is individually linked to bids a1 and b1. If a1 or b1 is activated bid b2 becomes available in QH0.
- Bid b2 (initially set as unavailable) is linked to bid b0 (in QH-2), meaning that if bid b0 is activated in DA of QH-2, bid b2 becomes available in QH0.
- The mentioned conditions are recursive over subsequent quarter-hours.

3.3. Availability of bids – combinations of different rules

The final availability of a bid for scheduled and/or direct activation may potentially be influenced by up to three different mechanisms, which will apply in the following descending order of precedence:

1. Unavailability as foreseen by EB GL art. 29(14)
2. Activation type or use of the principles of Guaranteed volume
3. Dependencies on associated bids in previous MTU periods due to conditional and/or technical linking

If a bid is subject to both conditional and technical linking and those links would yield a different outcome, the most restrictive result shall apply.

Literature

entso-e. (18 December 2018). *All TSOs' proposal for the implementation framework for a European platform for the exchange of balancing energy from frequency restoration reserves with manual activation in accordance with Article 20 of Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/2195 establishing*

entso-e. (March 2018). *Reserve Bid Documentation UML Model and Schema.*

entso-e. (November 2018). *Common Platform for Replacement Reserves - Implementatin Guide.*